

The Crabtree Academy Trust

Crabtree Lane, Harpenden, Herts. AL5 5PU



Whistleblowing Policy

Name/Department of originator/author:		Andrea Chapman
Name/Title of responsible committee/individual:		Resources Committee
Date issued:		February 2018
Review frequency:		3 years
Target audience:		Staff and governors

The governing body shall conduct the school with a view to promoting high standards of learning, attainment and care.

Crabtree Academy Trust is committed to eliminating discrimination, advancing equality of opportunity and fostering good relations between different groups. These factors were considered in the formation and review of this policy and will be adhered to in its implementation and application across the whole school community.

The Crabtree Schools will promote the fundamental British values of democracy, the rule of law, individual liberty and mutual respect and tolerance of those with different faiths and beliefs and will actively challenge any member of the school community expressing opinions contrary to fundamental British Values, including 'extremist' views.

Version	Date Approved by Resources Committee	Notes	Date of Review
V1.0	06/05/2015		
V2.0	28/02/2018	Updated for Hertfordshire model policy update April 2016 – i, 1.4, 3 (added section on recent changes to the law), 4.1, 5.2 (anonymity not encouraged), 5.3 (subject to confidentiality, and alerting externally), 8 (replacing law section), 9 (updated contacts) 3.5 added audit committee responsibility and the audit email address for financial irregularity reporting (already in s9). Ii Removed ref to policies administrator. 7.2 Updated DSP and DDSP at CJS.	Feb 2021

--	--	--	--

Contents

i.	Statement of adoption of model Whistleblowing policy	3
ii.	Arrangements for monitoring and review	3
1.	Introduction	4
2.	Background.....	4
3.	When should it be used?	5
4.	Principles	5
5.	Procedure	6
6.	What should be done if an issue is raised with a member of staff?	7
7.	Safeguarding Children and Young People.....	7
8.	Generally.....	7
9.	Contacts.....	7

i. Statement of adoption of model Whistleblowing policy

The Crabtree Academy Trust has chosen to adopt Hertfordshire County Council's Model Whistleblowing Policy and Procedure for Schools, reviewed April 2016. This policy concerns the reporting of illegal or improper conduct (disclosures under the public interest disclosures Act 1998) or concerns about safeguarding children or young people.

The Trust has substituted its own wording in para 3.3 to make it clear that malicious reporting constitutes of itself improper conduct.

ii. Arrangements for monitoring and review

This policy shall be reviewed at least once every three years in accordance with the Academy Year Planner. The Trust shall endeavor to update the policy if there are any changes to the Model Policy.

1. Introduction

This policy applies to all employees and governors. Other individuals performing functions in relation to the organisation, such as agency workers and contractors, should have access to it.

It is important to the Trust that any fraud, misconduct or wrongdoing by employees or directors or governors of the Trust is reported and properly dealt with. The Trust will, therefore, respond to all individuals who raise any genuine concerns that they may have about the conduct of others in the schools within the Trust, **which are in the public interest**. This policy sets out the way in which individuals may raise any concerns that they have and how those concerns will be dealt with.

- 1.1 Crabtree Academy Trust expects the highest standards of conduct from all employees and governors and will treat seriously any concern raised about illegal or improper conduct.
- 1.2 Any individual covered by this policy will be expected, through agreed procedures and without fear of recrimination, to bring to the attention of the Headteacher (or the Chair of Governors if the concerns relate to the Headteacher) any serious impropriety or breach of procedure.
- 1.3 Employees who do not follow the steps identified in this procedure or other agreed internal procedures, and take their concerns to other outside sources (e.g. the press), may be subject to a formal disciplinary investigation.
- 1.4 This policy does not form part of any employee's contract of employment and it may be amended at any time. The Trust may also vary any time limits as appropriate in any case.

2. Background

The law provides protection for employees who raise legitimate concerns about specified matters. These are called 'qualifying disclosures'. A qualifying disclosure is one made in the public interest by the employee who has a reasonable belief that:

- a criminal offence
- a miscarriage of justice
- an act creating risk to health and safety
- an act causing damage to the environment
- corruptly receiving any gift or advantage, thus failing to comply with the Bribery Act 2010 (see Model Anti-Bribery Policy for Schools available on the Grid)
- allowing private interests to override the interests of the Trust
- a breach of any legal obligation; or
- concealment of any of the above

is being, has been, or is likely to be, committed. It is not necessary for the employee to have proof that such an act is being, has been, or is likely to be committed – a reasonable belief is sufficient. The employee has no responsibility for investigating the matter; it is the Trust's responsibility to ensure that an investigation takes place.

- 2.1 Where the concerns are about **safeguarding children or young people**, the Designated Senior Person for Child Protection should be notified (*see 7 below*).
- 2.2 It is a procedure in which the Headteacher or Chair of Governors will be expected to act swiftly and constructively in the investigation of any concerns in accordance with the Trust's disciplinary procedure.
- 2.3 Concern about a colleague's professional capability should **not** be dealt with using this procedure (*but see section 7 below*).

3. When should it be used?

This procedure is for disclosures about matters other than a breach of an employee's own contract of employment. If an employee is concerned that his/her own contract has been, or is likely to be, broken he/she should use the Trust's Grievance procedures.

Where a disclosure is merely an expression of opinion that fails to show that a legal obligation has been or is likely to be breached, it **cannot** amount to a protected or qualifying disclosure for the purposes of the whistle blowing legislation.

Recent changes to the law - A qualifying disclosure means any disclosure of information that in the reasonable belief of the worker is made in the public interest. This is why an employee will generally be precluded from being able to 'blow the whistle' about breaches of his or her own employment contract. The requirement that a whistleblower may make a qualifying disclosure 'in good faith' has been removed. Therefore, while the employer can seek a declaration from the whistleblower that he or she is not knowingly making a false allegation, disciplinary action is likely to be appropriate only where there is clear evidence that the employee has misused this policy.

- 3.1 This procedure is not designed to replace or be used as an alternative to the grievance procedure, which should be used where an employee is only aggrieved about his/her own situation. Nor should this policy apply where the employee simply disagrees with the way the Trust is run.
- 3.2 Employees must have reasonable grounds for believing the information they have is accurate and not just idle gossip or rumour.
- 3.3 An employee who makes such a protected disclosure has the right not to be dismissed, subject to any other detriment, or victimised, because he/she has made a disclosure, provided it has not been made maliciously. Any employee who uses this procedure will not be penalised for doing so. The Trust will not tolerate harassment and/or victimisation of any employee raising concerns. However, reports that are made out of malice may be considered themselves to amount to improper conduct.
- 3.4 An employee who is not sure whether the conduct he/she is concerned about does constitute illegal or improper conduct or is unsure about how to proceed may contact the Herts for Learning Head of HR (*details in section 9*) or their Professional Association/Trade Union.
- 3.5 Financial regulations require that any suspicion of fraud, corruption or other financial irregularity is reported to the Chair of the Audit Committee for possible investigation. Normally an employee must first report any suspicion of such an irregularity to the Headteacher or Chair of Governors (*but see section 5*), who will in turn report it to the Audit Committee. The Funding Agency must also be informed. The Audit Committee has responsibility to ensure that all allegations of fraud and irregularity are appropriately investigated and controls weaknesses addressed. **Concerns relating to financial irregularity should be reported to audit@crabtreeinfants.herts.sch.uk.**

4. Principles

- 4.1 Any matter raised under this procedure will be investigated thoroughly, promptly and confidentially. Whilst the school will aim to keep the employee informed of the progress of the investigation and likely timescales sometimes the requirement for confidentiality will prevent full information about the investigation and any consequential disciplinary action from being disclosed.
- 4.2 No employee will be victimised for raising a matter under this procedure. This means that the continued employment and opportunities for future promotion or training of the employee will not be prejudiced because he/she has raised a legitimate concern.
- 4.3 Victimisation of a worker for raising a qualified disclosure will be a disciplinary offence.
- 4.4 If misconduct is discovered as a result of any investigation under this procedure the

matter will be considered under the disciplinary procedure, in addition to any appropriate external measures.

- 4.5 Maliciously making a false allegation is a disciplinary offence.
- 4.6 An instruction to cover up wrongdoing is in itself a disciplinary offence. If told not to raise or pursue any concern, even by a person in authority, employees should not agree to remain silent.

5. Procedure

- 5.1 In the first instance, unless the employee reasonably believes their Headteacher to be involved in the wrongdoing, any concerns should be raised with the employee's Headteacher. If he/she believes the Headteacher to be involved, then the employee should proceed straight to stage 3 (see below 5.3).
- 5.2 The Headteacher/Chair of Governors will arrange an investigation into the matter (either by investigating the matter himself/herself or immediately passing the issue to someone in a senior position). The investigation may involve the employee and others involved giving written statements. Any investigation will be carried out in accordance with the principles set out above.

Employees who want to use the procedure but feel uneasy about it may wish to consult their professional association/trade union initially and bring a colleague or professional association/trade union representative along to any discussions. This is permitted as long as the third party is not involved in the issue.

Employees are not encouraged to make disclosures anonymously. Proper investigation may be more difficult or impossible if further information cannot be obtained from the employee. It is also more difficult to establish whether any allegations are credible. Employees who are concerned about possible reprisals if their identity is revealed should come forward to one of the contacts listed in section 9 of this policy and appropriate measures can be taken to preserve confidentiality although no guarantee can be given that this will always be possible.

- 5.3 The Headteacher (or the person who carried out the investigation) will then report to the Chair of Governors, who will take the necessary action, including reporting the matter to the Trust and to any appropriate department or regulatory agency. If disciplinary action is required this will be taken forward by the Headteacher/Chair of Governors/Governing body in consultation with the Herts Schools HR Advisory Team. On conclusion of any investigation the employee will be told the outcome of the investigation and what the next steps will be (subject to issues of confidentiality). If no action is to be taken the reason for this will be explained.

If the employee is concerned that his/her Headteacher is involved in the wrongdoing, has failed to make a proper investigation or has failed to report the outcome of the investigation, he/she should inform the Herts for Learning Head of HR. The aim of this policy and procedure is to provide an internal mechanism for reporting, investigating and remedying any wrongdoing in the school. In most cases employees should not find it necessary to alert anyone externally and employees are strongly advised to seek advice before reporting a concern in this way. Employees who feel unable to follow this route, for whatever reason, have the option of contacting one of the following:

- HM Revenue and Customs
- The Financial Services Authority
- The Office of Fair Trading
- The Health and Safety Executive
- The Environment Agency
- The Director of Public Prosecutions
- The Serious Fraud Office
- The Education Funding Agency
- The Department for Education

- The National College for Teaching and Leadership

6. What should be done if an issue is raised with a member of staff?

6.1 If a member of staff, other than the Headteacher, is approached by a colleague on a matter of concern as defined in this document, he/she is advised to take the matter to the Headteacher (*but see 5*).

7. Safeguarding Children and Young People

7.1 Separate to the obligations in this policy, all employees have a duty to report concerns about the safety and welfare of pupils/students.

7.2 Concerns about any of the following should be reported to the school's Designated Senior Person for Child Protection (DSP):

- physical abuse of a pupil/student
- sexual abuse of a pupil/student
- emotional abuse of a pupil/student
- neglect of a pupil/student
- an intimate or improper relationship between an adult and a pupil/student

The Designated Senior Persons for Child Protection are:

Crabtree Infants' School.....Sally Patrick (Deputy DSP: Soli Vela)

Crabtree Junior School.....Leanne Williams (Deputy DSP: Anna Baillie Lane)

7.3 The reason for the concern may be the actions of a colleague (including a more senior colleague), a Governor, another pupil/student or someone outside the schools. Whatever the reason, concerns must be reported. Failure to report a Child Protection related allegation will be in itself, a disciplinary matter.

8. Generally

The legislation protecting individuals who makes a protected disclosure applies not only to employees, but also to any person who undertakes to do or perform personally (or otherwise) any work or service for the employer, regardless of the nature of the contractual relationship between them.

Section 43J of the Employment Rights Act 1996 provides that a settlement agreement made between an employee and employer cannot prevent future protected disclosures.

Any confidentiality obligations in contracts of employment that would prevent an employee making a protected disclosure will be void.

9. Contacts

Herts HR (HCC)

Assistant Director
Tel: 01992 556653

Herts for Learning

Head of HR
Tel: 01438 844873

Legal, Member & Statutory Services

Chief Legal Officer

Tel: 01992 555527

Crabtree Academy Trust Audit Committee

Robin Cregan – Committee Chair:
audit@crabtreeinfants.herts.sch.uk

Or via your professional association or trade union representative.